	Case 2:22-cv-01175-TLN-KJN Docume	nt 8 Filed 09/28/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MACK LUCAS,	No. 2: 22-cv-1175 TLN KJN P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	
15 16	Respondent.	
17	By an order filed August 18, 2022, pet	itioner was granted a thirty days extension of time
18	to file an in forma pauperis affidavit or to pay the appropriate filing fee. The thirty day period has	
19	expired, and petitioner has not responded to the court's order, has not filed an in forma pauperis	
20	affidavit, and has not paid the appropriate filing fee.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
22	prejudice.	
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
24	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
25 26	after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written	
2627	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's	
28	Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner	s advised that failure to file objections within the
_0		1

Case 2:22-cv-01175-TLN-KJN Document 8 Filed 09/28/22 Page 2 of 2 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: September 28, 2022 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Luc1175.fifp